Alicante Journal of English Studies
Revista Alicantina de Estudios Ingleses

Review form (v.2024.10.en)

Thank you for reviewing the manuscript for *RAEI.*

Please complete this form. Bear in mind that your evaluation may be sent to the authors, although your identity will not be disclosed.

1 Quality and originality

1.1 In your opinion, the overall quality is: *

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Poor

Justification: *

[Extended text box]

1.2 In terms of originality and relevance (scientific contribution), the manuscript is: *

- Excellent
- Good
- Acceptable
- Poor

Justification: *

[Extended text box]

2 Structure and style

2.1 Does the manuscript have a suitable title (clear, short and informative)? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

2.2 Is the abstract clear and accurate? Does it include the objectives, methodology, main results and most relevant conclusions from the study? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

2.3 Did the author provide a relevant, useful and sufficient number of keywords? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

2.4 Is the text clear, consistent and properly structured? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

2.5 Is the style appropriate (clear, concise, a logical sequence is followed)? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

2.6 Is the manuscript correct in terms of grammar, spelling and textual aspects? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3 Foundations, methodology, results and discussion

3.1. Is the study rationale and background adequately described? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.2 Are the objectives or hypotheses clear and consistent? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.3 Is the theoretical framework well-suited to the analysis conducted? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.4 Is the methodology employed rigorous and appropriate to achieve the proposed objectives? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.5 Are the results and discussion clear, insightful and consistent? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.6 Are the conclusions relevant? Do they sum up the main points of the article? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.7 Is the manuscript structured into sections in accordance with the journal's guidelines? Does the manuscript have a suitable structure? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.8 Did the author provide a sufficient number of bibliographic references? Are they appropriate and up-to-date? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.9 Do all the authors cited in the text appear in the bibliography section? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

3.10 Are the sources, citations, quotations, tables, illustrations or figures provided relevant? *

- Yes
- No

Suggested improvements or changes:

[Extended text box]

4 Comments and suggestions to authors

Any other comments or suggestions to authors about their manuscript can be provided here. If you prefer, you can make your comments and suggestions in a separate text file and attach it after completing the form.

[Extended text box]

5 Comments to the editors:

Please use this section to provide any confidential comments or recommendations that you would like to share exclusively with the editors. These comments will not be shared with the authors.

[Extended text box]